

Nursing

KEYWORDS: Assess,
Anxiety, Stress, Depression,
Nurses, COVID-19

**A CROSS SECTIONAL STUDY TO ASSESS THE
ANXIETY, STRESS AND DEPRESSION AMONG NURSES
DURING COVID-19 AT SELECTED HOSPITALS,
UDAIPUR**



Volume - 9, Issue - 7, July- 2024

ISSN (O): 2618-0774 | ISSN (P): 2618-0766

Mr. Jitendra Pujari*

Ph. D. Nursing Scholar, Sai Tirupati University, Udaipur, Rajasthan, India.
*Corresponding Author

Dr. M. U. Mansuri

Dean, Faculty of Nursing, Sai Tirupati University, Udaipur, Rajasthan, India.

**INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL
OF PURE MEDICAL RESEARCH**



Abstract- This study aimed to assess anxiety, stress, and depression levels among 300 nurses during the COVID-19 pandemic, utilizing a quantitative, cross-sectional design. Findings revealed that a majority experienced mild anxiety (53.7%), stress (64.3%), and depression (71.7%). Pearson's correlation showed moderate positive associations between stress and anxiety ($p=0.002$, $r=0.376$) and anxiety and depression ($p=0.040$, $r=0.119$), with a weak positive correlation between stress and depression ($p=0.068$, $r=0.105$). Age, professional experience, and marital status were associated with anxiety, stress, and depression levels. Work-related variables and fears significantly influenced anxiety and stress but not depression. The study underscores the need for targeted interventions and support to enhance nurses' well-being amid ongoing and future challenges.

INTRODUCTION

The World Health Organization (WHO) identified the newly detected coronavirus (nCoV), subsequently known as Coronavirus Disease-2019 (COVID-19), as a Public Health Emergency of International Concern (PHEIC) at the end of January 2020. Coronaviruses are naturally divided into four primary types: Gammacoronavirus, Deltacoronavirus, Betacoronavirus, and Alphacoronavirus. Human CoVs come in six unique subtypes, including Betacoronaviruses HCoV229E and HCoV-NL63, Alphacoronaviruses HCoVHKU1 and HCoV-OC43, Middle East Pulmonary Syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV), and severe acute lung disease coronavirus (SARS-CoV). It is well known that SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV are incredibly virulent and infectious, originating from bats to dromedary camels or palm civets and lastly to humans (1). The CDC states that symptoms may begin to show 2–14 days after viral exposure, and asymptomatic individuals may potentially spread the virus, primarily through contact with other people but also through aerosols from the respiratory system. Children and infants are also at risk of getting the virus and developing severe sickness, as are elderly persons with underlying health problems (2).

On January 30, 2020, India detected its initial instances of COVID-19 when three medical students from India, who had recently returned from Wuhan, were found to be infected. Lockdowns were implemented in Kerala on March 23 and extended to the rest of the nation on March 25. In September, there was a decline in the rate of infections, with cases dropping from more than 90,000 per day in mid-September to fewer than 15 thousand in January of 2021. A more severe second wave emerged in March 2021, leading to severe limitations of healthcare supplies such as cylinders of oxygen, beds in hospitals, and vaccines in different regions of the country. By the end of April, India had the highest number of active COVID-19 cases globally, reporting over four million new cases in a single day on April 30, 2021. In late August 2021, Soumya Swaminathan

suggested that India might have to adapt to living with the virus as it could become endemic rather than completely disappearing (3). Prime Minister Modi ordered a 14-hour Janata curfew for all of India on March 19, 2020. The Prime Minister of India declared that the country would be in a "total lockdown" for a period of 21 days on March 24 with 519 confirmed cases and 9 fatalities. All non-essential tasks companies and services, with the notable exception of hospitals, supermarkets, and drugstores mandated to close, and leaving the house for anything other than necessities was "totally prohibited". Public transportation was stopped entirely. On April 16, districts were designated into "Red" (hotspot), "Orange" or "Green" (very little communication) areas with a category order based on the prevalence that is color-coded. There hasn't been a statewide lockdown when a pandemic's second phase entered India (4).

Hospital employees, particularly nurses and doctors, face significant workplace pressures due to the demanding nature of their professions, leading to mental health difficulties. According to the National Institutes of Health (NIH), nursing ranks 27th out of 130 professions for mental health challenges. Stress-related burnout or disability affects 7.4% of nurses each week, marking an 80% higher incidence compared to other professions (5). Those responsible for admitting or caring for COVID-19 patients encounter additional organizational and personal pressures, negatively impacting their physical and mental well-being. Recognizing and addressing these stresses are crucial for prevention, treatment, and stress reduction. Regular exercise is suggested as a beneficial step. Stress not only contributes to anxiety and depression but also affects job satisfaction, personal relationships, and may even lead to suicidal thoughts. Moreover, it hinders the effectiveness of psychological therapies by impacting mental health professionals' ability to interact efficiently with clients and make decisions (6).

Nurses in emergency departments face significant psychological stress attributed to excessive workloads, long hours, and the challenges of caring for patients in a high-risk, fast-paced environment. This demanding setting often leads to fatigue, exhaustion, mental strain, and depression among nurses. Throughout the COVID-19 pandemic, frontline healthcare professionals, particularly nurses, grappled with heightened levels of anxiety, sadness, depression, and sleep disturbances. The lack of clinical understanding about the newly identified virus, coupled with shortages of protective equipment and medical supplies, contributed to these challenges. Consequently, healthcare workers experienced low morale at work, increased absenteeism, reduced interest, and diminished job performance (7). Psychologists, psychiatric professionals, and behavioural scientists worldwide grapple with formidable challenges related to stress, anxiety, and depression. Among these, depression emerges as the most significant global mental health disorder, surpassing both physical and mental ailments in prevalence. The World Health Organization identifies depression as one of the most widespread behavioral disorders, characterized by symptoms like diminished mood, loss of interest, feelings of guilt and worthlessness, disrupted sleep and eating patterns, decreased energy levels, and difficulties in

concentration. Depression and anxiety collectively affect 10 to 20% of the global population, making them the most prevalent mental illnesses (8).

The COVID-19 pandemic has created a global public health emergency, bringing about uncertainty and instability across cultures. Managing a condition becomes challenging for healthcare providers when it lacks well-established prognoses and treatments. The unfamiliar nature of COVID-19, coupled with the absence of evidence-based knowledge, widespread beliefs, and societal stigma, poses significant challenges for healthcare practitioners. The ability of healthcare to respond to a pandemic is crucial for effective illness management and preventing disease-related problems and transmission. A study of 10 systematic reviews, encompassing 100 studies from 35 nations, found significant variability in the prevalence of depression, anxiety, and stress among medical practitioners. In 2020, a comprehensive review and meta-regression revealed that 25.8% of healthcare workers experienced anxiety, and 45% faced stress while providing treatment for COVID-19 patients. Sample size growth was inversely associated with the frequency of stress and anxiety. Another meta-analysis during the pandemic indicated a comparatively high incidence of depression, anxiety, and stress among healthcare personnel, emphasizing the need for resources to mitigate the risk of psychological issues. The psychological impact, particularly anxiety and stress, on nurses, who serve as frontline personnel, remains a significant concern amid the pandemic (9,10,11,12).

AIM OF STUDY

The present study aims to assess the anxiety, stress and depression among nurses worked during COVID-19.

OBJECTIVES

1. To assess the level of anxiety, stress and depression among nurses during COVID-19
2. To Correlate between anxiety and stress, anxiety and depression, stress and depression among nurses during COVID-19
3. To find out association between level of anxiety, stress and depression among nurses with their selected demographic&clinical variables.

METHODS

Study design and study settings

This study took a quantitative approach to assess stress, anxiety, and depression levels among staff nurses during COVID-19. Using a descriptive cross-sectional methodology, it aimed to capture real-world experiences. Conducted across hospitals in the Udaipur District—PIMS, Umarda, PMCH Bedla, GBH American General Hospital, and Sunrise Hospital—it delved into the diverse challenges faced by nurses in their daily roles. This approach, spanning various healthcare settings, aimed to ensure a comprehensive understanding of nurses' experiences within the region.

Study population and sampling procedure

The study focused on staff nurses actively engaged during the COVID-19 pandemic, employing a quantitative non-experimental design with a descriptive cross-sectional approach. A sample size of 300 nurses was selected using simple random sampling, ensuring representativeness. Formal permissions were obtained from hospital authorities, and inclusion/exclusion criteria were established to maintain relevance and consistency in the study population. This systematic sampling procedure aimed at providing a comprehensive understanding of the mental health challenges faced by staff nurses during the pandemic.

Inclusion criteria

- Staff nurses who worked/working during COVID-19 to care for COVID patients.
- Staff nurses who are co-operative.
- Staff nurses who are willing to participate

Exclusion criteria

- Staff nurses who have worked during COVID-19 for less than 1 week.
- Staff nurses who are not available during data collection.

Sample size

The sample sizes needed for this particular investigation was chosen by availability of previous study data related to prevalence of anxiety, stress and depression by using formula used to estimate sample size for descriptive study which is $N=Z^2pq/d2$.it was came around 300.

Data collection

Formal ethical clearance was secured through a written permission process initiated with the medical superintendent of the designated hospitals in Udaipur district. In preparation for data collection, comprehensive instructions on the research topic were provided to the staff nurses. This included a detailed explanation of the study's objectives, ensuring a clear understanding of the research context. Prior to obtaining data, the staff nurses were diligently briefed, and their informed consent was secured. Importantly, assurances regarding the privacy and security of the information they shared were communicated, underscoring the commitment to ethical considerations.

The data collection phase spanned from January 2, 2022, to December 25, 2022, during which eligible staff nurses who met the inclusion criteria actively participated. The data collection sessions, employing various tools to gather pertinent information, were conducted with meticulous attention to ethical standards. Each session had a duration of 45 to 60 minutes, allowing for a comprehensive exploration of the targeted variables. This timeframe was carefully chosen to balance the need for thorough data collection with the respect for participants' time and commitment. The culmination of these ethical and procedural measures underscored the integrity of the research process and the well-being of the participating staff nurses.

Data analysis

The study's robust data analysis, combining descriptive and inferential statistics, systematically addressed research questions and hypotheses. Using inferential statistics, the analysis drew meaningful insights about the broader population. The comprehensive plan included coding data, presenting demographics and clinical data in frequency and percentage, and detailing anxiety, stress, and depression variables. Total scores for nurses were calculated using mean, median, range, and standard deviation. Karl Pearson's correlation explored relationships, while the Chi-square test examined associations with demographic factors. Chi-square analysis identified connections with clinical variables. This approach unveiled nuanced findings, illuminating the interplay of demographic, clinical, and psychological factors among staff nurses.

Ethical considerations

The study, ethically approved by the Sai Tirupati University committee in Udaipur, prioritized stringent ethical standards. Prior to participation, informed consent was obtained from willing participants, and requisite permissions were secured from hospital authorities. A crucial ethical dimension was the assurance of privacy, instilling trust by ensuring confidentiality of responses. Transparent communication about the study's purpose was maintained, and participants were explicitly informed of their right to refuse participation, respecting their autonomy. These ethical safeguards underscored the commitment to upholding the highest standards throughout the research process.

RESULTS

Findings related to frequency and percentage distribution of participants according to demographic or clinical variables:

The result reveals that regarding the demographic variables of nurses, the majority (40.7%) were in the age group of 26-30 years, and the most common gender was female (76.3%). In terms of educational qualification, half of the nurses (50%) had completed B.Sc. Nursing, and the majority had 6-10 years of professional experience (40.6%). Marital status showed that 70.7% were married, and concerning monthly income, most (37%) earned between Rs 20,000-30,000 per month. The predominant religion was Hindu (89.7%), and 63.3% lived in nuclear families. Dietary habits indicated that 56.3% were vegetarian (Table 1).

Table 1: Frequency and Percentage Distribution of Demographic Variables of staff nurses N=300

| Demographic variable | Frequency (n) | Percentage (%) |
|---------------------------|---------------|----------------|
| Age | | |
| 21-25 Years | 79 | 26.3 |
| 26-30 Years | 122 | 40.7 |
| 31-35 Years | 60 | 20.0 |
| 36-40 Years | 39 | 13.0 |
| Gender | | |
| Male | 71 | 23.7 |
| Female | 229 | 76.3 |
| Educational Qualification | | |
| GNM | 88 | 29.4 |
| B.Sc. Nursing | 150 | 50.0 |
| Post Basic B.Sc. Nursing | 52 | 17.3 |
| Masters in Nursing | 10 | 3.3 |
| Professional Experience | | |
| < 1 Years | 30 | 10.0 |
| 1-5 Years | 68 | 22.7 |
| 6-10 Years | 122 | 40.0 |
| Above 10 Years | 80 | 26.7 |
| Marital Status | | |
| Married | 212 | 70.7 |
| Unmarried | 88 | 29.3 |
| Divorced | 0 | 0.00 |
| Monthly Income (Rs.) | | |
| Up to 10,000 | 60 | 20.0 |
| 10,000-20,000 | 70 | 26.3 |
| 20,000-30,000 | 111 | 37.0 |
| Above 30,000 | 50 | 16.7 |
| Religion | | |
| Hindu | 269 | 89.7 |
| Muslim | 21 | 7.0 |
| Christian | 10 | 3.3 |
| Type of family | | |
| Nuclear family | 190 | 63.3 |
| Joint family | 110 | 36.7 |
| Dietary habits | | |
| Vegetarian | 169 | 56.3 |
| Non-vegetarian | 131 | 43.7 |

Regarding clinical variables, 57% of nurses were forced to work in COVID-19 wards, and 40% worked in such wards for 3-6 months. A significant portion (56.3%) had worked during both the 1st and 2nd waves of COVID-19, and 73.7% had not tested positive for COVID-19. The majority (63%) were isolated during work in COVID-19 wards. Concerning the availability of PPE kits, 54% reported not receiving them regularly. However, 100% of the nurses had been vaccinated for COVID-19, and 76.3% reported no fear of working in COVID-19 wards (Table 2).

Table 2: Frequency and Percentage Distribution of clinical Variables of staff nurses N=300

| Clinical variable | Frequency (n) | Percentage (%) |
|---|---------------|----------------|
| Gone to work in COVID-19 ward | | |
| Voluntary | 129 | 43.0 |
| Forced to work | 171 | 57.0 |
| Duration of work in COVID-19 ward | | |
| 1 month | 31 | 10.3 |
| 1-3 months | 70 | 23.3 |
| 3-6 months | 120 | 40 |
| More than 6 months | 79 | 26.4 |
| Have you worked during COVID-19 | | |
| 1st Wave | 81 | 27.0 |
| 2nd Wave | 50 | 16.7 |
| Both | 169 | 56.3 |
| Stay during work in COVID-19 ward | | |
| With family | 141 | 47.0 |
| Provided by employer | 109 | 36.3 |
| Paying guest | 50 | 16.7 |
| Food during work in COVID-19 ward | | |
| Home | 123 | 41.0 |
| Provided by employer | 89 | 29.7 |
| Self cooking | 68 | 22.7 |
| Hotels | 20 | 6.6 |
| Have you been tested for Corona (+ve) | | |
| Yes | 79 | 26.3 |
| No | 221 | 73.7 |
| Have you been isolated during work in COVID-19 ward | | |
| Yes | 189 | 63.0 |
| No | 111 | 37.0 |
| Do you get PPE kits regularly | | |
| Yes | 138 | 46.0 |
| No | 162 | 54.0 |
| Have you been vaccinated for COVID-19 | | |
| Yes | 300 | 100.0 |
| No | 0 | 0.0 |
| Fear of working in COVID-19 ward | | |
| Yes | 71 | 23.7 |
| No | 229 | 76.3 |

Findings related to distribution of level of anxiety, stress and depression among staff nurses during COVID-19:

The study's findings reveal the distribution of anxiety, stress, and depression levels among staff nurses during the COVID-19 pandemic. In terms of anxiety, the majority (53.7%) reported experiencing mild anxiety, while 46.3% indicated moderate anxiety. The scores on the Beck Anxiety Inventory scale ranged from 4 to 31, with a median score of 14 and a mean anxiety score of 14.42 (SD=4.46). This suggests a prevalent but varying degree of anxiety among the nursing staff, with the mean falling within the mild anxiety range.

Concerning stress levels, the data showed that a significant proportion (64.3%) of staff nurses reported mild stress, while 35.7% reported moderate stress. The Perceived Stress Scale scores ranged from 7 to 22, with a median stress score of 13 and a mean stress score of 13.74 (SD=3.13). This highlights a noteworthy presence of stress among the nurses, with the mean falling within the mild stress

category. The findings related to depression levels among staff nurses during COVID-19 indicate that a substantial majority (71.7%) reported mild depression, while 28.3% reported moderate depression. The Hamilton Depression Rating Scale scores ranged from 10 to 26, with a median depression score of 18 and a mean depression score of 18.23 (SD=4.23). This underscores the prevalence of depressive symptoms among the nursing staff, with the mean falling within the mild depression range.

Overall, the distribution of anxiety, stress, and depression levels suggests varying degrees of psychological impact on the staff nurses during the challenging circumstances of the COVID-19 pandemic. The comprehensive assessment of these mental health indicators provides valuable insights into the well-being of healthcare professionals in the context of a global health crisis.

Findings related to correlation between level of anxiety, stress and depression among staff nurses during COVID-19:

The findings pertaining to the correlation between stress and anxiety among staff nurses during COVID-19, analyzed using Pearson correlation, demonstrated a moderate positive correlation (r=0.376). This indicates that as stress levels increased, there was a corresponding increase in anxiety levels among staff nurses during COVID-19, and the correlation was statistically significant (p=0.002). In relation to the correlation between stress and depression among staff nurses during COVID-19, assessed using Spearman Brown correlation, a weak positive correlation (r=0.105) was observed. However, this correlation was found to be statistically non-significant (p=0.068), suggesting that the relationship between stress and depression among staff nurses during COVID-19 did not reach statistical significance.

Regarding the correlation between anxiety and depression among staff nurses during COVID-19, as determined by Spearman Brown correlation, a weak positive correlation (r=0.119) was identified. This correlation was statistically significant (p=0.040), indicating that there was a positive association between anxiety and depression levels among staff nurses during COVID-19.

These correlation findings provide insights into the interplay of stress, anxiety, and depression among staff nurses during the pandemic, highlighting varying degrees of association between these mental health indicators.

Findings related to association between level of anxiety among staff nurses during COVID-19 with their selected demographic and clinical variables:

The findings regarding the association between the level of anxiety among staff nurses during COVID-19 and their selected demographic variables were examined using the chi-square test. The results indicated significant associations (p<0.05) with age (χ²=11.17), professional experience (χ²=8.887), and marital status (χ²=6.764). However, other demographic variables, including gender (χ²=0.409), educational qualification (χ²=0.212), monthly income (χ²=0.121), religion (χ²=0.069), type of family (χ²=0.340), and dietary habits (χ²=0.103), showed statistically non-significant associations with the level of anxiety among staff nurses during COVID-19 (Table 3).

Similarly, the association between the level of anxiety among staff nurses during COVID-19 and selected clinical variables was assessed using the chi-square test. Significant associations (p<0.05) were found with variables such as going to work in COVID-19 ward (χ²=5.821), food during work in COVID-19 (χ²=9.140), regular receipt of PPE kits (χ²=5.332), and fear of working in COVID-19 ward (χ²=8.157). Conversely, other clinical variables, including the duration of work (χ²=0.096), working during COVID-19 (χ²=0.028), stay during work in COVID-19 ward (χ²=0.053), being tested for COVID-19 (χ²=0.052), isolation during COVID-19 (χ²=0.118), and

vaccination for COVID-19 (χ²=0.00), demonstrated statistically non-significant associations with the level of anxiety among staff nurses during COVID-19 (Table 4).

Table 3: Association between level of anxiety among staff nurses during COVID-19 with their selected demographic variables N= 300

| Demographic Variables | Level of anxiety | | χ ² value | Df | p-value |
|---------------------------|------------------|----------|----------------------|----|---------|
| | Mild | Moderate | | | |
| Age in years | | | | | |
| a. 21-25 years | 45 | 36 | 11.17 | 3 | 0.010* |
| b. 26-30 years | 65 | 55 | | | |
| c. 31-35 years | 21 | 19 | | | |
| d. 36- 40 years | 10 | 29 | | | |
| Gender | | | | | |
| a. Male | 41 | 31 | 0.409 | 1 | 0.522NS |
| b. Female | 120 | 108 | | | |
| Educational qualification | | | | | |
| a. GNM | 47 | 41 | 0.212 | 3 | 0.976NS |
| b. B. Sc Nursing | 79 | 70 | | | |
| c. Post B. Sc Nursing | 29 | 24 | | | |
| d. M. Sc Nursing | 6 | 4 | | | |
| Professional experience | | | | | |
| a. < 1 year | 17 | 13 | 8.887 | 3 | 0.030* |
| b. 1-5 years | 38 | 31 | | | |
| c. 6-10 years | 75 | 48 | | | |
| d. Above 10 years | 31 | 47 | | | |
| Marital status | | | | | |
| a. Married | 124 | 88 | 6.764 | 1 | 0.009* |
| b. Unmarried | 37 | 51 | | | |
| c. Divorced | -- | -- | | | |
| Monthly income(Rs) | | | | | |
| a. Up to 10,000 | 33 | 27 | 0.121 | 3 | 0.989NS |
| b. 10,000-20,000 | 42 | 37 | | | |
| c. 20,000-300,000 | 58 | 52 | | | |
| d. Above 300,000 | 28 | 23 | | | |
| Religion | | | | | |
| a. Hindu | 145 | 125 | 0.069 | 2 | 0.966NS |
| b. Muslim | 11 | 9 | | | |
| c. Christian | 5 | 5 | | | |
| Type of family | | | | | |
| a. Nuclear family | 99 | 90 | 340 | 1 | 0.560NS |
| b. Joint family | 62 | 49 | | | |
| Dietary habit | | | | | |
| a. Vegetarian | 91 | 79 | 0.103 | 1 | 0.957NS |
| b. Non vegetarian | 70 | 60 | | | |

*Significant, NS Non-significant

Table 4: Association between level of anxiety among staff nurses during COVID-19 with their selected clinical variables N = 300

| Clinical Variables | Level of anxiety | | χ ² value | df | p-value |
|-------------------------------|------------------|----------|----------------------|----|---------|
| | Mild | Moderate | | | |
| Gone to work in COVID-19 ward | | | | | |

| | | | | | |
|---|-----|-----|-------|----|---------|
| a. Voluntary | 79 | 49 | 5.821 | 1 | 0.015* |
| b. Forced to work | 82 | 90 | | | |
| Duration of work in COVID-19 ward | | | | | |
| a. 1 month | 17 | 14 | 0.096 | 3 | 0.992NS |
| b. 1-3 months | 39 | 32 | | | |
| c. 3-6 months | 63 | 56 | | | |
| d. More than 6 months | 42 | 37 | | | |
| Have you worked during COVID-19 | | | | | |
| a. 1st wave | 44 | 37 | 0.028 | 2 | 0.986NS |
| b. 2nd wave | 27 | 23 | | | |
| c. Both | 90 | 79 | | | |
| Stay during work in COVID-19 ward. | | | | | |
| a. With family | 75 | 66 | 0.053 | 2 | 0.974NS |
| b. Provided by employer | 59 | 51 | | | |
| c. Paying guest | 27 | 22 | | | |
| Food during work in COVID-19 ward | | | | | |
| a. Home | 66 | 57 | 9.14 | 3 | 0.027* |
| b. Provided by employer | 47 | 42 | | | |
| c. Self cooking | 37 | 31 | | | |
| a. Hotels. | 11 | 9 | | | |
| Have you been tested for Corona (+ve) | | | | | |
| a. Yes | 41 | 37 | 0.052 | 1 | 0.820NS |
| b. No | 120 | 102 | | | |
| Have you been isolated during work in COVID-19 ward | | | | | |
| a. Yes | 100 | 89 | 0.118 | 1 | 0.732NS |
| b. No | 61 | 50 | | | |
| Do you get PPE kits regularly | | | | | |
| a. Yes | 84 | 54 | 5.332 | 1 | 0.020* |
| b. No | 77 | 85 | | | |
| Have you been vaccinated for COVID-19 | | | | | |
| a. Yes | 161 | 139 | NA | NA | NA |
| b. No | -- | -- | | | |
| Fear of working in COVID-19 ward | | | | | |
| a. Yes | 48 | 22 | 8.157 | 1 | 0.004* |
| b. No | 113 | 117 | | | |

*Significant, NS Non-significant

Findings related to association between level of stress among staff nurses during COVID-19 with their selected demographic and clinical variables:

The findings regarding the association between the level of stress among staff nurses during COVID-19 and selected demographic variables were examined using the chi-square test. The results showed significant associations ($p < 0.05$) with age ($\chi^2 = 19.08$) and professional experience ($\chi^2 = 27.91$). However, other demographic variables, including gender ($\chi^2 = 0.572$), educational qualification ($\chi^2 = 0.170$), marital status ($\chi^2 = 0.110$), monthly income ($\chi^2 = 0.258$), religion ($\chi^2 = 0.087$), type of family ($\chi^2 = 0.418$), and dietary habits ($\chi^2 = 0.108$), demonstrated statistically non-significant associations with the level of stress among staff nurses during COVID-19 (Table 5).

Similarly, the association between the level of stress among staff nurses during COVID-19 and selected clinical variables was assessed using the chi-square test. Significant associations ($p < 0.05$) were found with variables such as going to work in COVID-19 ward ($\chi^2 = 29.05$), stay during work in COVID-19 ward ($\chi^2 = 11.44$), and regular receipt of PPE kits ($\chi^2 = 21.60$). Conversely, other clinical variables, including the duration of work ($\chi^2 = 0.212$), working during COVID-19 ($\chi^2 = 0.105$), food during work in COVID-19 ($\chi^2 = 0.102$), being tested for COVID-19 ($\chi^2 = 0.022$), isolation during COVID-19 ($\chi^2 = 0.118$), vaccination for COVID-19 ($\chi^2 = 0.00$), and fear of working in COVID-19 ward ($\chi^2 = 0.076$), demonstrated statistically non-significant associations with the level of stress among staff nurses during COVID-19 (Table 6).

Table 5: Association between level of stress among staff nurses during COVID-19 with their selected demographic variables N = 300

| Demographic Variables | Level of stress | | χ^2 value | df | p-value |
|---------------------------|-----------------|----------|----------------|----|---------|
| | Mild | Moderate | | | |
| Age in years | | | | | |
| a. 21-25 years | 54 | 27 | 19.08 | 3 | 0.002* |
| b. 26-30 years | 78 | 42 | | | |
| c. 31-35 years | 47 | 13 | | | |
| d. 36-40 years | 14 | 25 | | | |
| Gender | | | | | |
| a. Male | 49 | 23 | 0.572 | 1 | 0.449N |
| b. Female | 144 | 84 | | | |
| Educational qualification | | | | | |
| a. GNM | 57 | 31 | 0.17 | 3 | 0.982N |
| b. B. Sc Nursing | 95 | 54 | | | |
| c. Post B. Sc Nursing | 34 | 19 | | | |
| d. M. Sc Nursing | 7 | 3 | | | |
| Professional experience | | | | | |
| a. < 1 year | 20 | 10 | 27.91 | 3 | 0.001* |
| b. 1-5 years | 46 | 23 | | | |
| c. 6-10 years | 98 | 25 | | | |
| d. Above 10 years | 29 | 49 | | | |
| Marital status | | | | | |
| a. Married | 136 | 76 | 0.11 | 1 | 0.918N |
| b. Unmarried | 57 | 31 | | | |
| c. Divorced | 0 | 0 | | | |
| Monthly income (Rs) | | | | | |
| a. Up to 10,000 | 39 | 21 | 0.258 | 3 | 0.968NS |

| | | | | | |
|-------------------|-----|----|-------|---|---------|
| b. 10,000-20,000 | 51 | 28 | | | |
| c. 20,000-300,000 | 69 | 41 | | | |
| d. Above 300,000 | 34 | 17 | | | |
| Religion | | | | | |
| a. Hindu | 174 | 96 | 0.087 | 2 | 0.957NS |
| b. Muslim | 13 | 7 | | | |
| c. Christian | 6 | 4 | | | |
| Type of family | | | | | |
| a. Nuclear family | 119 | 70 | 0.418 | 1 | 0.518NS |
| b. Joint family | 74 | 37 | | | |
| Dietary habit | | | | | |
| a. Vegetarian | 109 | 61 | 0.108 | 1 | 0.929NS |
| b. Non vegetarian | 84 | 46 | | | |

*Significant, NS Non-significant

Table 6: Association between level of stress among staff nurses during COVID-19 with their selected clinical variables N = 300

| Clinical Variables | Level of stress | | χ ² value | df | p-value |
|---|-----------------|----------|----------------------|----|---------|
| | Mild | Moderate | | | |
| Gone to work in COVID-19 ward | | | | | |
| a. Voluntary | 108 | 20 | 29.08 | 1 | 0.001* |
| b. Forced to work | 85 | 87 | | | |
| Duration of work in COVID-19 ward | | | | | |
| a. 1 month | 21 | 10 | 0.212 | 3 | 0.976NS |
| b. 1-3 months | 46 | 25 | | | |
| c. 3-6 months | 76 | 43 | | | |
| d. More than 6 months | 50 | 29 | | | |
| Have you worked during COVID-19 | | | | | |
| a. 1st wave | 52 | 29 | 0.105 | 2 | 0.997NS |
| b. 2nd wave | 32 | 18 | | | |
| c. Both | 109 | 60 | | | |
| Stay during work in COVID-19 ward. | | | | | |
| a. With family | 91 | 50 | 11.44 | 2 | 0.002* |
| b. Provided by employer | 80 | 30 | | | |
| c. Paying guest | 22 | 27 | | | |
| Food during work in COVID-19 ward | | | | | |
| a. Home | 80 | 43 | 0.112 | 3 | 0.990NS |
| b. Provided by employer | 56 | 33 | | | |
| c. Self cooking | 44 | 24 | | | |
| a. Hotels. | 13 | 7 | | | |
| Have you been tested for Corona (+ve) | | | | | |
| a. Yes | 50 | 28 | 0.102 | 1 | 0.961NS |
| b. No | 143 | 79 | | | |
| Have you been isolated during work in COVID-19 ward | | | | | |

| | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----|-----|-------|----|---------|
| a. Yes | 121 | 68 | 0.022 | 1 | 0.883NS |
| b. No | 72 | 39 | | | |
| Do you get PPE kits regularly | | | | | |
| a. Yes | 108 | 30 | 21.6 | 1 | 0.001* |
| b. No | 85 | 77 | | | |
| Have you been vaccinated for COVID-19 | | | | | |
| a. Yes | 193 | 107 | NA | NA | NA |
| b. No | 0 | 0 | | | |
| Fear of working in COVID-19 ward | | | | | |
| a. Yes | 46 | 24 | 0.076 | 1 | 0.783NS |
| b. No | 147 | 83 | | | |

*Significant, NS Non-significant

Findings related to association between level of depression among staff nurses during COVID-19 with their selected demographic and clinical variables:

The findings regarding the association between the level of depression among staff nurses during COVID-19 and selected demographic variables were analyzed using the chi-square test. The results showed significant associations (p<0.05) with professional experience (χ²=8.193) and marital status (χ²=31.88). However, other demographic variables, including age (χ²=0.292), gender (χ²=0.014), educational qualification (χ²=5.885), monthly income (χ²=0.114), religion (χ²=0.128), type of family (χ²=0.104), and dietary habits (χ²=0.42), demonstrated statistically non-significant associations with the level of depression among staff nurses during COVID-19 (Table 7).

Similarly, the association between the level of depression among staff nurses during COVID-19 and selected clinical variables was assessed using the chi-square test. Significant associations (p<0.05) were found with variables such as working in COVID-19 ward (χ²=27.56), being isolated during COVID-19 (χ²=57.40), and regular receipt of PPE kits (χ²=26.70). Conversely, other clinical variables, including the duration of work (χ²=0.021), working during COVID-19 (χ²=0.103), staying during work in COVID-19 ward (χ²=0.110), food during work in COVID-19 (χ²=0.095), being tested for COVID-19 (χ²=0.101), vaccination for COVID-19 (χ²=0.00), and fear of working in COVID-19 ward (χ²=0.064), were found to be statistically non-significant with the level of depression among staff nurses during COVID-19 (Table 8).

Table 7: Association between level of depression among staff nurses during COVID-19 with their selected demographic variables N = 300

| Demographic Variables | Level of stress | | χ ² value | df | p-value |
|---------------------------|-----------------|----------|----------------------|----|---------|
| | Mild | Moderate | | | |
| Age in years | | | | | |
| a. 21-25 years | 59 | 22 | 0.292 | 3 | 0.962NS |
| b. 26-30 years | 87 | 33 | | | |
| c. 31-35 years | 42 | 18 | | | |
| d. 36-40 years | 27 | 12 | | | |
| Gender | | | | | |
| a. Male | 52 | 20 | 0.014 | 1 | 0.904NS |
| b. Female | 163 | 65 | | | |
| Educational qualification | | | | | |
| a. GNM | 62 | 26 | 0.126 | 3 | 0.989NS |

| | | | | | |
|-------------------------|-----|----|-------|---|---------|
| b. B. Sc Nursing | 108 | 41 | | | |
| c. Post B. Sc Nursing | 38 | 15 | | | |
| d. M. Sc Nursing | 7 | 3 | | | |
| Professional experience | | | | | |
| a. < 1 year | 22 | 8 | 8.193 | 3 | 0.042* |
| b. 1-5 years | 49 | 20 | | | |
| c. 6-10 years | 97 | 26 | | | |
| d. Above 10 years | 47 | 31 | | | |
| Marital status | | | | | |
| a. Married | 172 | 40 | 31.88 | 1 | 0.001* |
| b. Unmarried | 43 | 45 | | | |
| c. Divorced | -- | -- | | | |
| Monthly income(Rs) | | | | | |
| a. Up to 10,000 | 43 | 17 | 0.114 | 3 | 0.990NS |
| b. 10,000-20,000 | 56 | 23 | | | |
| c. 20,000-300,000 | 80 | 30 | | | |
| d. Above 300,000 | 36 | 15 | | | |
| Religion | | | | | |
| a. Hindu | 193 | 77 | 0.128 | 2 | 0.938NS |
| b. Muslim | 15 | 5 | | | |
| c. Christian | 7 | 3 | | | |
| Type of family | | | | | |
| a. Nuclear family | 135 | 54 | 0.104 | 1 | 0.905NS |
| b. Joint family | 80 | 31 | | | |
| Dietary habit | | | | | |
| a. Vegetarian | 121 | 49 | 0.046 | 1 | 0.829NS |
| b. Non vegetarian | 94 | 36 | | | |

*Significant, NS Non-significant

Table 8: Association between level of depression among staff nurses during COVID-19 with their selected clinical variables N = 300

| Clinical Variables | Level of depression | | χ ² value | df | p-value |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|----------|----------------------|----|---------|
| | Mild | Moderate | | | |
| Gone to work in COVID-19 ward | | | | | |
| a. Voluntary | 112 | 16 | 27.56 | 1 | 0.002* |
| b. Forced to work | 103 | 69 | | | |
| Duration of work in COVID-19 ward | | | | | |
| a. 1 month | 22 | 9 | 0.021 | 3 | 0.999NS |
| b. 1-3 months | 51 | 20 | | | |
| c. 3-6 months | 85 | 34 | | | |
| d. More than 6 months | 57 | 22 | | | |
| Have you worked during COVID-19 | | | | | |
| a. 1st wave | 58 | 23 | 0.103 | 2 | 0.998 |
| b. 2nd wave | 36 | 14 | | | |
| c. Both | 121 | 48 | | | |
| Stay during work in COVID-19 ward. | | | | | |

| | | | | | |
|---|-----|----|-------|----|---------|
| a. With family | 101 | 40 | 0.11 | 2 | 0.947NS |
| b. Provided by employer | 78 | 32 | | | |
| c. Paying guest | 36 | 13 | | | |
| Food during work in COVID-19 ward | | | | | |
| a. Home | 89 | 34 | 0.095 | 3 | 0.992NS |
| b. Provided by employer | 63 | 26 | | | |
| c. Self cooking | 49 | 19 | | | |
| a. Hotels. | 14 | 6 | | | |
| Have you been tested for Corona (+ve) | | | | | |
| a. Yes | 56 | 22 | 0.101 | 1 | 0.977NS |
| b. No | 159 | 63 | | | |
| Have you been isolated during work in COVID-19 ward | | | | | |
| a. Yes | 164 | 25 | 57.4 | 1 | 0.001* |
| b. No | 51 | 60 | | | |
| Do you get PPE kits regularly | | | | | |
| a. Yes | 119 | 19 | 26.7 | 1 | 0.001* |
| b. No | 96 | 66 | | | |
| Have you been vaccinated for COVID-19 | | | | | |
| a. Yes | 215 | 5 | NA | NA | NA |
| b. No | -- | -- | | | |
| Fear of working in COVID-19 ward | | | | | |
| a. Yes | 51 | 19 | 0.064 | 1 | 0.801NS |
| b. No | 164 | 66 | | | |

*Significant, NS Non-significant

DISCUSSION

This study aimed to comprehensively evaluate the levels of anxiety, stress, and depression among 300 actively working nurses during the COVID-19 pandemic, utilizing a quantitative non-experimental approach with a descriptive cross-sectional design. Employing tools such as the Beck Anxiety Inventory scale, Perceived Stress Scale, and Hamilton Depression Rating Scale, the research sought to explore correlations between anxiety, stress, and depression through Pearson's analysis. Additionally, it aimed to examine associations with demographic and clinical variables using the Chi-square test. The overarching goal was to provide a thorough understanding of the real-world experiences and challenges faced by nurses across multiple hospitals, including PIMS, Umarda, PMCH Bedla, GBH American General Hospital, and Sunrise Hospital, in the Udaipur District during this critical period. The study employed a simple random sampling technique to select a representative sample, with data collection spanning from January 2, 2022, to December 25, 2022, focusing on eligible staff nurses actively engaged in COVID-19 duties.

The demographic and clinical variables considered in this study provide valuable insights into the diverse factors influencing the psychological well-being of nurses during the COVID-19 pandemic.

These variables encompassed age, gender, educational qualification, professional experience, marital status, monthly income, religion, type of household, food preferences, and a range of clinical aspects related to their experiences in COVID-19 wards.

The age distribution among the nurses indicated that a substantial proportion (40.7%) fell within the 26-30 age group. This aligns with findings from a study conducted in Nepal by Bhandari et al. (2022), where the majority of respondents were below 30 years.¹³ A notable majority (76.3%) of the nurses in this study were female. This is consistent with the gender distribution reported in a study conducted in Egypt by Aly et al. (2021), where 70.3% of respondents were female.¹⁴ The educational background of the nurses revealed that 50% had completed B. Sc. Nursing. A comparable pattern was observed in a study conducted in Ethiopia by GebreEyesus et al. (2021), where degree holders constituted the majority (57.6%).¹⁵ Regarding professional experience, a significant percentage (40.6%) of nurses reported 6-10 years of experience. This mirrors findings from a study in Nepal by Bhandari et al. (2022), where the majority had below 5 years of experience.¹³ A substantial portion (70.7%) of the nurses in this study were married. Similar marital status distribution was observed in a study in Egypt by Aly et al. (2021), where 69.9% were married.¹⁴ The monthly income distribution indicated that 37% of nurses had an income of Rs 20,000-30,000. This is consistent with findings from a study in Chennai by Prathiba et al. (2023), where 50% of respondents had an income in the range of Rs 20,001-30,000.¹⁶ The religious affiliation of the nurses showed a predominant Hindu majority (89.7%). A study in Perundurai, Erode, by Sasikala Gunasekaran et al. (2022), also found a higher percentage (64.26%) of Hindu respondents.¹⁷ In terms of the type of family, a majority (63.3%) of the nurses were living in nuclear families. This aligns with findings from a study in Perundurai, Erode, by Sasikala Gunasekaran et al. (2022), where 62.81% belonged to nuclear families.¹⁷ The dietary habits of the nurses indicated that 56.3% were vegetarian. This corresponds with findings from a study in Chennai by Prathiba et al. (2023), where 50% of respondents were vegetarian.¹⁶ The clinical variables describes Gone to Work in COVID-19 Ward a substantial percentage (57%) of nurses in this study reported being forced to work in COVID-19 wards. This contrasts with a study in Qatar by Abdulqadir J. Nashwan et al. (2021), where 88.1% were willing to treat COVID-19 patients.¹⁸ The duration of work in COVID-19 wards varied, with 40% working for 3-6 months. In a study in Mumbai by Cornelio et al. (2021), 80.72% had exposure for 6-9 months.¹⁹ Have You Worked During COVID-19 a majority (56.3%) reported working during both the 1st and 2nd waves of COVID-19. This differs from findings in Egypt by Aly et al. (2021), where 48.09% worked during both waves.¹⁴ The living arrangements during work indicated that 47% were staying with family. This contrasts with findings in Boston by Hoff et al. (2021), where 89.73% were living with others in the household.²⁰ Regarding food arrangements during work, 41% reported having food from home. This aligns with a study in Iran by Zakeri et al. (2021), where 41.35% preferred homemade food.²¹ Have You Been Tested for Corona (+ve): A majority (73.7%) reported not being COVID-19 positive. This is consistent with findings in the United Kingdom by Ball et al. (2023), where 74.35% were not infected.²² Have You Been Isolated During Work in COVID-19 Ward: A significant portion (63%) reported being isolated during work in COVID-19 wards. This is supported by findings in Beijing by Zhou et al. (2021), where nurses were isolated during the pandemic.²³ Do You Get PPE Kits Regularly: A substantial number (54%) reported not receiving PPE kits regularly. This corresponds with findings in Southern Iran by Zakeri et al. (2021), where 62.41% did not receive PPE kits regularly.²¹ Have You Been Vaccinated for COVID-19: All nurses (100%) reported being vaccinated for COVID-19. Similar findings were reported in Lubbock, TX, USA, by Peterson et al. (2023), where 83.7% were vaccinated.²⁴ Fear of Working in COVID-19 Ward: A majority (76.3%) reported no fear of working in COVID-19 wards. This is supported by findings in a study by Troisi et al. (2021), which highlighted fear of COVID-19 among healthcare workers.²⁵

The distribution of anxiety levels revealed that 53.7% had mild

anxiety, and 46.3% had moderate anxiety, with a mean anxiety score of 14.42. This is in line with a study in Nepal by Neupane et al. (2020), where 88.4% expressed a typical level of worry.²⁶ The majority (64.3%) reported mild stress, and 35.7% reported moderate stress, with a mean stress score of 13.74. This contrasts with a study in Nepal by Neupane et al. (2020), where 54.7% reported moderate stress.²⁶ A significant proportion (71.7%) reported mild depression, and 28.3% reported moderate depression, with a mean depression score of 18.23. This aligns with a study in Nepal by Bhandari et al. (2022), where the prevalence rate for depression was 85.72%.¹³

The correlation analysis conducted on the data regarding stress and anxiety among staff nurses during COVID-19 indicated a moderate positive correlation with a Pearson correlation coefficient ($r=0.376$, $p=0.002$), signifying a statistically significant association. This finding implies that as stress levels increased, anxiety levels also tended to rise among the staff nurses. This observation aligns with a cross-sectional study conducted by Nuriye Çelmeçe and Menekay at Spain in 2020.²⁷ Their study, involving 240 nurses, reported a positive and significant association between stress and anxiety, reinforcing the present study's findings.

Contrastingly, the correlation analysis between stress and depression yielded a weak positive correlation ($r=0.105$, $p=0.068$), indicating a statistically non-significant association. This implies that, in this study, stress levels were not significantly correlated with depression levels among staff nurses during COVID-19. This result diverges from a similar cross-sectional study conducted by Alnazly, Khraisat, et al. (2021) in Iran²⁸, which involved 365 nurses and reported a weak but statistically significant association between stress and depression. Such discrepancies may arise from variations in sample characteristics, cultural factors, or methodological differences.

The correlation analysis between anxiety and depression revealed a weak positive correlation ($r=0.119$, $p=0.040$), suggesting that as anxiety levels increased; there was a corresponding increase in depression levels among staff nurses during COVID-19. This finding resonates with a cross-sectional study conducted by Alnazly, Khraisat, et al. (2021) in Iran²⁸, which reported a marginally significant positive association between anxiety and depression among 365 nurses.

The association between anxiety levels and selected demographic variables demonstrated that age ($\chi^2=11.17$), professional experience ($\chi^2=8.887$), and marital status ($\chi^2=6.764$) were significantly associated at $p<0.05$ level. However, gender ($\chi^2=0.409$), educational qualification ($\chi^2=0.212$), monthly income ($\chi^2=0.121$), religion ($\chi^2=0.069$), type of family ($\chi^2=0.340$), and dietary habits ($\chi^2=0.103$) showed no statistically significant association with anxiety levels. Similar findings were reported by Kaur and Sangeetha (2021)²⁹, supporting the association of work experience and the use of PPE kits with anxiety among nurses. Lalith, Kumar, and Muthu (2020)³⁰ also emphasized a strong correlation between age, qualification, working hours, and accommodation with nurses' anxiety during COVID-19.

The association analysis for stress and demographic variables revealed that age ($\chi^2=19.08$) and professional experience ($\chi^2=27.91$) were significantly associated at $p<0.05$ level, while other demographic variables showed no statistically significant association with stress levels. In clinical variables, variables such as going to work in COVID-19 ward ($\chi^2=29.05$), staying during work ($\chi^2=11.44$), and receiving PPE kits regularly ($\chi^2=21.60$) were significantly associated with stress levels at $p<0.05$. Nadeem, Sadiq, et al. (2021)³¹ supported these findings, highlighting that the department in which nurses were stationed and job experience were significant predictors of depression, anxiety, and stress. Specifically, nurses working in COVID-19 wards were more likely to develop depression, anxiety, and stress.

Lastly, the association analysis for depression and demographic

variables revealed that professional experience ($\chi^2=8.193$) and marital status ($\chi^2=31.88$) were significantly associated at $p<0.05$ level, while other demographic variables showed no statistically significant association with depression levels. In clinical variables, variables such as working in a COVID-19 ward ($\chi^2=27.56$), being isolated during COVID-19 ($\chi^2=57.40$), and receiving PPE kits regularly ($\chi^2=26.70$) were significantly associated with depression levels at $p<0.05$. These results were in line with Lalith, Kumar, and Muthu (2020)³⁰, who found a strong association between age, qualification, working hours, and accommodation with nurses' stress during COVID-19. Sheikhbardsiri et al. (2021)³² also supported these findings, emphasizing a considerable connection between gender, marital status, level of education, and monthly hours at work among those surveyed who suffered from stress, anxiety, and depression.

Recommendation

To enhance the study's impact, we recommend replicating it on a larger scale with an expanded nurse cohort and extending the research to include diverse healthcare professionals. A comparative study between nurses and other healthcare providers during the pandemic would provide valuable insights. Exploring factors exacerbating mental health challenges among nurses through an exploratory study is essential. An experimental study focusing on coping strategies tailored for nurses in pandemic conditions would offer practical interventions. Evaluating mental health during different critical situations through dedicated studies adds valuable context. Extending research to community-level settings and diverse healthcare environments broadens the understanding of mental health dynamics. Correlating patient satisfaction with nursing care during pandemics with nurses' mental well-being provides a holistic perspective. These recommendations aim to guide future studies for a comprehensive exploration of mental health challenges in varied healthcare contexts, ensuring broader insights and effective interventions.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Author would like to thank the Sai Tiruapti University for giving us this learning opportunity that helped us develop valuable life lessons of teamwork, resilience, cooperation, and most of all, the endless pursuit of knowledge. Also, we would like to convey our deep and sincere gratitude towards Dr. (Prof.) M. U. Mansuri for providing invaluable guidance, support, advice, comments, suggestions, and provisions that help in the completion and success of this study. Lastly, our thanks go to all the people who have guided us to complete the research work.

DECLARATIONS

Funding: No Funding Sources

Conflict of interest: None declared

Ethical approval: The study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee

REFERENCES

- Zhou P, Yang XL, Wang XG, Hu B, Zhang L, Zhang W, et al. A pneumonia outbreak associated with a new coronavirus of probable bat origin. *Nature*. 2020; 579(7798):270-3.
- Zhang H, Lu H, Ma W. Investigation on mental stress reaction of cibilianurese in a military hospital to earthquake disasters. *J Nurs Sci* 2014;29:13-4.
- Huang M, Zhao D. General hospital infection nurse request for post transfer and reasons for resignation. *Int Med Health Bull* 2010;16:621-3.
- Rossi R, Succi V, Pacitti F, Lorenzo G.D., Marco A.D., Siracusano A., Rossi A. Mental Health Outcomes Among Frontline and Second-Line Health Care Workers During the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Pandemic in Italy. *JAMA Network Open*. 2020; 3-10.
- Tam, CWC, Pang, EPF, Lam, LCW Severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) in Hong Kong in, 2003: stress and psychological impact among frontline healthcare workers. *Psychol Med* 2004; 34(7): 1197-1204.
- Shanafelt T, Ripp J, Trockel M. Understanding and addressing sources of anxiety among health care professionals during the COVID-19 pandemic. *JAMA*. 2020;323(21):2133-4
- India Fights Corona COVID-19. Available at: <https://www.mygov.in/covid-19>. Accessed on 10 May 2020.
- Zhang C, Yang L, Liu S, Ma S, Wang Y, Cai Z, et al. Survey of insomnia and related social psychological factors among Hospital staff involved in the 2019 novel coronavirus disease outbreak. *Front Psychiatry*. 2020;11:306.
- Cheung T, Wong SY, Wong KY, Law LY, Ng K, Tong MT, et al. Depression, anxiety and symptoms of stress among baccalaureate nursing students in Hong Kong: a cross-

- sectional study. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2016;13(8):779.
- Omrani AS, Shalhoub S. Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV): what lessons can we learn? *J Hosp Infect*. 2015;91(3):188-96.
- Olum, R., Chekwech, G., Wekha, G., Nassoz, D. R., & Bongomin, F. Coronavirus disease-2019: Knowledge, attitude, and practices of health care workers at Makerere University Teaching Hospitals, Uganda. *Frontiers in Public Health*. 2020; 8, 181.
- Wang, C., Horby, P.W., Hayden, F.G., & Gao, G.F. A novel coronavirus outbreak of global health concern. *The Lancet*, 2020; 395(10223), 470-473.
- Bhandari, M., Yadav, U., Dahal, T., & Karki, A. (2022). Depression, Anxiety and Stress among Nurses Providing Care to the COVID-19 Patients: A Descriptive Cross-sectional Study. *JNMA: Journal of the Nepal Medical Association*, 60(246), 151-154. <https://doi.org/10.31729/jnma.7235>
- Aly HM, Nemr NA, Kishk RM, et al. Stress, anxiety and depression among healthcare workers facing COVID-19 pandemic in Egypt: a cross-sectional online-based study. *BMJ Open* 2021;11:e045281. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2020-045281
- GebreEyesus, F. A., Tarekegn, T. T., Amlak, B. T., Shiferaw, B. Z., Emeria, M. S., Geleta, O. T., Terefe, T. F., Tadereregew, M. M., Jimma, M. S., Degu, F. S., Abdisa, E. N., Eshetu, M. A., Misganaw, N. M., & Chanie, E. S. (2021). Levels and predictors of anxiety, depression, and stress during COVID-19 pandemic among frontline healthcare providers in Gurage zonal public hospitals, Southwest Ethiopia, 2020: A multicenter cross-sectional study. *PLOS ONE*, 16(11), e0259906. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0259906>
- Prathiba S., et al (2023), A study to assess the level of stress among Section A-Research paper staff nurses during COVID-19 pandemic, *Eur. Chem. Bull.* 2023, 12 (S2), 941 - 943
- Sasikala Gunasekaran et al. (2021), Fear of COVID-19 among Staff Nurses Working in Tertiary Care Hospital, Erode, Tamil Nadu: A Cross-sectional Study, *Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research*, 16(6): CC01-CC05
- Abdulqadir J. Nashwan, Ahmad A. et al (2021) Nurses' willingness to work with COVID-19 patients: The role of knowledge and attitude, 8(2): 695-701. <https://doi.org/10.1002/nop2.674>
- Cornelio S, SalySuseel S, Thomas V. COVID-19 related depression, anxiety and stress among nurses working as front line workers in a selected hospital in Mumbai city. *Int J Health Sci Res*. 2021; 11(5): 163-168. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.52403/ijhsr.20210526>
- Byhoff et al. Healthcare workers' perspectives on coronavirus testing availability: a cross sectional survey, *BMC Health Services Research* (2021) 21:719. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-021-06741-5>
- Zakeri, M. A., Rahiminezhad, E., Salehi, F., Ganjeh, H., & Dehghan, M. (2021). Burnout, Anxiety, Stress, and Depression Among Iranian Nurses: Before and During the First Wave of the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.789737>
- Ball, J., Anstee, S., Couper, K., Maben, J., Blake, H., Anderson, J. E., Kelly, D., Harris, R., Conolly, A., & the full ICON Study Team (2023). The impact of COVID-19 on nurses (ICON) survey: Nurses' accounts of what would have helped to improve their working lives. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 79, 343-357. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jan.15442>
- Zhou, J., Dang, Y., Wang, X., Yang, Z., Lu, W., & Zhang, H. (2021). Factors Influencing Nurses' Work Interruption in Wuhan Isolation Wards During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Medical Science Monitor: International Medical Journal of Experimental and Clinical Research*, 27, e929851-1. <https://doi.org/10.12659/MSM.929851>
- Peterson, C. J., Abohelwa, M., Rimu, A., Payne, D., Yang, S., Williams, T., Rowin, E. N., & Nugent, K. (2023). COVID-19 Vaccination Attitude and Behavior among Nurses at a West Texas Regional Hospital. *Vaccines*, 11(2). <https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines11020343>
- Troisi, A., Nanni, R. C., Riconi, A., Carola, V., & Cave, D. D. (2021). Fear of COVID-19 among Healthcare Workers: The Role of Neuroticism and Fearful Attachment. *Journal of Clinical Medicine*, 10(19). <https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm10194358>
- Neupane MS, Angadi S, Joshi A, Neupane HC. Stress and anxiety among nurses working in tertiary care hospitals in Nepal during COVID-19 pandemic. *Journal of Chitwan Medical College*.2020;10(33):8-11.
- Alnaz, E., Khraisat, O. M., Al-Bashaireh, A. M., & Bryant, C. L. (2021). Anxiety, depression, stress, fear and social support during COVID-19 pandemic among Jordanian healthcare workers. *PLOS ONE*, 16(3), e0247679. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0247679>
- Nuriye Çelmeçe and Menekay (2020), Fear of COVID-19 among Staff Nurses Working in Tertiary Care Hospital, Spain: A Cross-sectional Study, *Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research*, 13(2): CC01-CC05
- Kaur M, Sangeetha R (2021). A study to assess stress, anxiety and depression among staff nurses facing COVID-19 pandemic in Jordan: a cross-sectional online-based study. *BMJ Open*, 11:e145288. DOI: 10.1136/bmjopen-2021-044232
- Lalith K, Kumar M, Muthu L (2020). A study to assess depression, anxiety and stress among nurses providing care to the COVID-19 patients: A descriptive cross-sectional study. *International Journal of Research and Analytical Reviews*, 2 (1), 23-26. <https://doi.org/10.21426/7235>
- Nadeem, F., Sadiq, A., Raziq, A., Iqbal, Q., Haider, S., Saleem, F., & Bashar, M. (2021). Depression, Anxiety, and Stress Among Nurses During the COVID-19 Wave III: Results of a Cross-Sectional Assessment. *Journal of Multidisciplinary Healthcare*, 14, 3093-3101. <https://doi.org/10.2147/JMDH.S338104>
- Sheikhbardsiri, H., Doustmohammadi, M. M., Afshar, P. J., Heidarijamebozorgi, M., Khankeh, H., & Beyramijam, M. (2021). Anxiety, stress and depression levels among nurses of educational hospitals in Iran: Time of performing nursing care for suspected and confirmed COVID-19 patients. *Journal of Education and Health Promotion*, 10. https://doi.org/10.4103/jehp.jehp.1319_20